

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	_	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,201	(03/31/2004	Jhon Jhy Liaw		N1280-00180(TSMC2003-1083 9501		
54657	7590	08/25/2006		EXAMINER			
DUANE MORRIS LLP .					FENTY, JESSE A		
IP DEPART 30 SOUTH	•	•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196				2815			
					DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/815,201	LIAW, JHON JHY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jesse A. Fenty	2815		

	Jesse A. Fenty	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE D6.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a content of the property of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, it is a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, it is a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, it is a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, it is a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, it is a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, it is a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a filed after a filed after a filed amendment(s) filed amendment(s) filed amendment(s) filed amendment	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	:	·	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4 and 6-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>26-31</u> .		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	if of the status of the claims after c	inity is below of attach	icu.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues on pp. 8 of 12 that "Noda, in contrast, does not disclose or suggest using the active region for providing connection between multiple devices." On the contrary, if applicant looks at Noda's Fig. 4 for example, the active region (61) is a common drain region that extends between the two FETs, thus meeting the claim language. Second, on pp. 10 of 12, applicant asserts that "... the Noda reference does not teach or suggest using the continuous silicide layer to connect drain nodes of PMOS or NMOS transistors..." Again, on the contrary, the silicide layer (64) shown in Fig. 4 of Noda connects the drain nodes of the gates (63) and (54). For these reasons, examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's assessment, and the Final Rejection mailed 04/20/06 stands.

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER